





# GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY LAW GENTER EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

### INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC REPRESENTATION

June 17, 2002

Douglas L. Parker Director

Fellows

Hope M. Babcock Associate Director Environmental Law Project

Angela I. Campbell Associate Director Citizens Communications Center Project Michael D. Beach Sheila A. Bedi Christopher R. Day Lawrence M. Levine Amy R. Wolverton

600 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Suite 312 RECEIVED

Telephone: 202-662-9535 TDD: 202-662-9538 Fax: 202-662-9634

Washington, DC 20001-2075

JUN 17 2002

PARENAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

#### <u>VIA HAND DELIVERY</u>

Marlene Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Ex Parte Presentation

CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200,

95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 17, 2002, the undersigned met with Paul Garnett of the Commission's Wireline Competition Bureau to deliver the attached materials, which are being submitted in connection with the Commission's June 21, 2002, meeting regarding possible changes to the universal service contribution methodologies.

Pursuant to the Commission's ex parte rules, an original and 13 copies of this letter and attachment are being filed. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher R. Day

Attachment

Matthew Brill, Office of Commissioner Abernathy cc:

Paul Garnett, WCB

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCOE

# ORIGINAL

# THE CASE AGAINST CONNECTION-BASED USF ASSESSMENT

Consumers Union
Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel
Consumer Federation of America
Appalachian People's Action Counsel
Center for Digital Democracy
Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition
Migrant Legal Action Program

Written Presentation for FCC Public Meeting

Panel 1: Contribution Assessment Methodologies

June 21, 2002

# ORIGINAL

## A Connection-Based USF Fee Violates Section 254(d) of the 1996 Act

- A Connection-Based Fee Eliminates Contribution Requirements for Many Interexchange Carriers
  - Section 254(d) specifically states that "[e]very telecommunications carrier" providing interstate services shall contribute to the fund.
  - The connection-based proposal, however, would allow a number of interexchange carriers to completely avoid any contribution responsibility, in clear violation of Section 254(d).
- A Connection-Based Fee Is Not "Equitable and Nondiscriminatory"
  - Section 254(d) also requires that any USF assessment be "equitable and nondiscriminatory."
  - A connection-based fee levies the same assessment on both "high-end" and "low-use" customers, and plainly discriminates against low-use and low-income customers.

## **ORIGINAL**

# The CoSus Connection-Based Fee Proposal Will Hurt Low-Income and Low-Use Customers

- The Flat \$1.00 USF Connection Fee Proposed By the Coalition for Sustainable Universal Service ("CoSus") Will Disproportionately Harm Low-Income and Low-Use Consumers
  - The CoSus proposal assumes that carriers would add at least a \$0.10 "administrative fee" in addition to the \$1.00 connection-based USF fee. Under this scenario, low-use customers would pay more in "USF recovery" under the connection-based proposal in all but 2 of the 18 calling plans studied. (See Attachment 1).
  - In addition, it is critical to note that the CoSus plan provides no cap for "excess" USF recovery or "administrative fees" that carriers could levy in addition to the proposed \$1.00 connection-based fee. Accordingly, if carriers levied a \$0.25 "administrative fee" in addition to the \$1.00 connection charge, low-use customers would pay more under the connection-based proposal than the currently do in all but 1 of the 18 calling plans studied. (See Attachment 2). Furthermore, if a \$0.51 "administrative fee" is charged, customers would pay more under the connection-based proposal than they do under any of the calling plans studied. (See Attachment 3).
- Even the CoSus statistics note that low-income consumers will pay more under their connection-based USF proposal than under the current revenue-based system. The CoSus data submitted in initial comments admits that 62% of all households with incomes below \$15,000 a year will pay more under the connection-based proposal, and that 58% of households making between \$15,000 and \$30,000 will pay more. (See CoSus Comments, Attachment 2 at 6, Table 1).



### Consumer Friendly USF Reform Proposals

- The Basic Revenue-Based USF Assessment System Should Be Retained.
- Current USF "Safe Harbors," Such as the Wireless "Safe Harbor" Should Be Re-Examined in Light of Changing Market Conditions.
- The Commission Should Study Changes to the Current Assessment System That Would Eliminate the USF Assessment "Lag."
- The Commission Should Prohibit Customer "Pass-Through" of Carrier USF
   Assessments or, In the Alternative, Limit Carrier Recovery to the Actual Amount
   of the Applicable USF Assessment Factor to Protect Consumers From Abusive
   Carrier USF Recovery Practices.

ATTACHMENT 1



# CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE 0f \$1.10

Annualized Total Annualized Current Proposed USF Total Actual Current Current Actual USF luse End-User Per-Minute USF Increase USF Increase USF% End-User Per-Minute Advertised Connectivity Per-Minute & \$0.51 Cost Per Costs For Rate For Costs For Costs For Costs For Rate For for Low-Use For Ave.-Use Monthly 29 Minutes | 29 Minutes | 29 Minutes | 58 Minutes | Plan Rate Fee LEC Month 58 Minutes 58 Minutes Customers Customers One Rate Plus Plan 11.50% S 6.67 \$ 0.23 0.69 0.92 \$ 8.93 \$ 0.15 (1.17)(3.97)0.07 \$3.95 1.10 Anytime Advantage Savings 9.90% \$ 1.10 0.69 7.70 0.13 1.16 (1.25)Option 0.07 \$2.95 5.47 \$ 0.19 0.49 8.77 \$ 0.30 0.79 \$ 0.99 \$ 11.00 0.19 S (2.40)(4.81)\$ 5.95 9.90% \$ 1.10 Anytime 0.071to \$0.00 2.23 \$ 0.08 \$ 0.20 \$ 0.40 \$ 4.46 \$ 0.08 4.67 2.26 0.13 0.05 3.93 Everdial1 0.049 9.25% \$ 1.10 \$ 1.55 \$ 0.05 \$ \$ 0.26 \$ 3.10 \$ 5.50 \$0.00 N/A 8.50% 1.42 \$ 0.22 \$ \$ 0.05 5.75 4.42 0.045 \$0.00 1.10 \$ \$ 0.05 0.11 2.83 S N/A 6.90% 0.05 0.10 0.20 3.04 \$ 0.05 5.90 4.73 0.049 \$0.00 1.10 1.52 \$ N/A 5.50 \$ 0.26 \$ 3.10 \$ 0.05 S \$ 0.049 \$0.00 9.25% \$ 1.10 1.55 0.05 0.13 3.93 N/A 0.054 \$0.00 9.90% \$ 1.10 1.72 \$ 0.06 \$ 0.16 \$ 0.31 \$ 3.44 \$ 0.06 Ŝ 5.22 \$ 3.36 0.42 \$ \$ 2.00 3.44 0.12 0.31 4.68 0.08 3.40 2.07 N/A 0.039 9.80% \$ 1.10 to 0.22 2.48 \$ 5.75 \$0.00 0.04 0.11 0.04 4.42 1.24 \$ 2.00 3.66 0.13 0.24 0.33 \$ 5.18 0.09 4.25 \$ 3.07 N/A 1.10 0.049 6.90% to \$0.00 1.52 0.05 0.10 0.20 \$ 3.04 \$ 0.05 5.90 4.73 3.56 \$ \$ \$ 2.00 0.12 0.25 \$ 0.35 4.96 0.09 4.03 2.82 N/A 7.70% \$ 0.045 1.10 to \$0.00 1.41 0.05 0.10 0.20 2.81 \$ 0.05 5.87 4.67 4.31 \$ 0.15 2.42 \$ 2.50 \$ 0.39 \$ 0.53 \$ 5.87 \$ 0.10 0.73 9.90% \$ 1.10 0.049 to \$ N/A \$0.00 1.56 \$ 0.05 \$ 0.14 0.28 3.12 0.05 5.39 3.70 N/A 12% \$ 1.10 2.24 \$ 0.08 0.24 0.48 0.08 4.20 0.069 4.48 \$ 1.32 \$0.00



#### **ATTACHMENT 2**

#### CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS

COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE ANI) AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE OF \$1.25

				Current	Proposed USF To				Actual		I .		Current		Total		Actual		Annualized		ualized	
		Advertised	{	USF%	Connectivity	y End-User		Per-Minute		USF		luse		End-User		Per-Minute		USF Increase		USF Increase		
	!	Per-Minute	Monthly	& \$0.51	Cost Per	Cos	Costs For		Rate For		Costs For		Costs For		Costs For		Rate For		for Low-Use		For AveUse	
	Plan	Rate	Fee	LEC	Month	29	Minutes	29	Minutes	29	Minutes	58	Minutes	58	Minutes	58	Minutes	Cus	tomers	Cus	tomers	
	One Rate			]																		
L.	Plus Plan	0.07	\$3.95	11.50%	\$ 1.25	\$	6.67	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	\$	0.92	\$	8.93	\$	0.15	\$	0.63	\$	(2.17)	
	Anytime	1		1	†	{		}		ŀ		{		]				1				
	Advantage			<b>{</b>	}			1		[		{		{		}		{				
	Savings			<b>,</b>	}	1		}	1			1		1		}		{		}	1	
	Option	0.07	\$2.95	9.90%	\$ 1.25	\$	5.47	\$	0.19	\$	0.49	\$	0.69	\$	7.70	\$	0.13	\$	2.96	\$	0.55	
		<u> </u>	\$ 5.95	Š		\$	8.77	\$	0.30	\$	0.79	\$	0.99	\$	11.00	\$	0.19	\$	(0.60)	\$	(3.01)	
Ö.	Anytime	0.07	to	9.90%	\$ 1.25	1		1	'	ĺ		1				}		)		1	i	
			\$0.00			\$	2.23	\$	0.08	\$	0.20	_	0.40		4.46	\$	0.08	\$	6.47	\$	4.06	
É	Everdial1	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%	\$ 1.25	\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	7.30	\$	5.73	
24	N/A	0.045	\$0.00	8.50%	\$ 1.25	\$	1.42	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.83	\$	0.05	\$	7.55	\$	6.22	
14.						1						ł		•		{						
Ġ			ı			1		1				1						1		ĺ	j	
<u>İ</u>	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	6.90%			1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	7.70	\$	6.53	
į.	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%		\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	7.30	\$	5.73	
3	N/A	0.054	\$0.00	9.90%	\$ 1.25	\$	1.72	\$	0.06	\$	0.16	\$	0.31	\$	3.44	\$	0.06	\$	7.02	\$	5.16	
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.44	\$	0.12	\$	0.31	\$	0.42	\$	4.68	\$	0.08	\$	5.20	\$	3.87	
Ġ.	N/A	0.039	to	9.80%	\$ 1.25	l						l				1		<b>]</b>		Ì	1	
			\$0.00		 	\$	1.24	\$	0.04	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.48	\$	0.04	\$	7.55	\$	6.22	
	ľ	}	\$ 2.00		,	\$	3.66	\$	0.13	\$	0.24	\$	0.33	\$	5.18	\$	0.09	\$	6.05	\$	4.87	
9	N/A	0.049	to	6.90%	\$ 1.25	Ì			į			]			į			}			1	
			\$0.00		<u></u>	\$_	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	7.70		6.53	
		į	\$ 2.00			\$	3.56	\$	0.12	\$	0.25	\$	0.35	\$	4.96	\$	0.09	\$	5.83	\$	4.62	
Ų.	N/A	0.045	to	7.70%	\$ 1.25	1						4								•		
Ãa .			\$0.00			\$	1,41	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	+	0.20		2.81	\$	0.05	\$	7.67	\$	6.47	
€.		ļ	\$ 2.50			\$	4.31	\$	0.15	\$	0.39	\$	0.53	\$	5.87	\$	0.10	\$	4.22	\$	2.53	
V.		0.049		9.90%	\$ 1.25	1						[		1		]		{		}	- 1	
<u>G</u>	N/A		\$0.00			\$	1.56	\$	0.05	\$	0.14	+	0.28	\$	3.12		0.05		7.19	\$	5.50	
atti	N/A	0.069	\$0.00	12%	<b>\$</b> 1.25	\$	2.24	\$	0.08	\$_	0.24	\$	0.48	\$	4.48	\$	80.0	\$	6.00	\$	3.12	

#### **ATTACHMENT 3**

#### CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS

COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE OF \$1.51

				Current	Propose	d USF	Total		Actual		Current		Current		Total		Actual		Annualized		Annualized	
		Advertised	}	USF %	Connec	tivity	End-User		Per-Minute		USF		USF		End-User		Per-Minute		USF Increase		USF Increase	
		Per-Minute	Monthly	& \$0.51	Cost Pe	r	Costs For		Rate For		Costs For		Costs For		Costs For		Rate For		for Low-Use		For AveUse	
E .	Plan	Rate	Fee	LEC	Month		29 1	Minutes	29	Minutes	29	Minutes	58	Minutes	58	Minutes	58	Minutes	Cus	tomers	Cust	tomers
	One Rate																					
A	Plus Plan	0.07	\$3.95	11.50%	\$	1.51	\$	6.67	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	\$	0.92	\$	8.93	\$	0.15	\$	3.75	\$	0.95
	Anytime																					
	Advantage	[	}	{	ł				ĺ						1				ļ			4
	Savings	}	}	1	j		1		}	1			<b>f</b>		}				ł		}	
	Option	0.07	\$2.95	9.90%	\$	1.51	\$	5.47	\$	0.19	\$	0.49	\$	0.69	\$	7.70	\$	0.13	\$	6.08	\$_	3.67
Water State of the			\$5.95	}			\$	8.77	\$	0.30	\$	0.79	\$	0.99	\$	11.00	\$	0.19	\$	2.52	\$	0.11
\$ 1	Anytime	0.07	to	9.90%	\$	1.51	1		Ì				}		]				]		]	l
			\$0.00	<u> </u>			\$	2.23	\$	0.08	\$	0.20	\$	0.40	\$	4.46	\$	0.08	\$	9.59	\$	7.18
	Everdial1	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%	\$	1.51	\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	10.42	\$	8.85
<b>A</b> *	N/A	0.045	\$0.00	8.50%	\$	1.51	\$	1,42	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.83	\$	0.05	\$	10.67	\$	9.34
					[	.	1			İ			{	1		!			,		<u> </u>	1
A-VINCE CALLED	1				1		l		İ				}		l				1			1
Ť.	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	6.90%	\$	1.51	\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	10.82	\$	9.65
si .	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%	\$	1.51	\$_	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	10.42	\$	8.85
œ.	N/A	0.054	\$0.00	9.90%	\$	1.51	\$_	1.72	\$	0.06	\$	0.16	\$	0.31	\$	3.44	\$	0.06	\$	10.14	\$	8.28
		<u> </u>	\$ 2.00				\$	3.44	\$	0.12	\$	0.31	\$	0.42	\$	4.68	\$	0.08	\$	8.32	\$	6.99
	N/A	0.039	to	9.80%	\$	1.51						ļ	ł									1
			\$0.00				\$_	1.24	\$	0.04	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.48	\$_	0.04	\$	10.67	\$	9.34
	1		\$ 2.00				\$	3.66	\$	0.13	\$	0.24	\$	0.33	\$	5,18	\$	0.09	\$	9.17	\$	7.99
iç.	N/A	0.049	to	6.90%	\$	1.51						ļ	•									
	Ĺ		\$0.00				\$	1.52	\$_	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	10.82	\$	9.65
			\$ 2.00				\$	3.56	\$	0.12	\$	0.25	\$	0.35	\$	4.96	\$	0.09	\$	8.95	\$	7.74
1	N/A	0.045	to	7.70%	\$	1.51		l		!		•	}					ı			•	
			\$0.00				\$	1.41	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	2.81	\$	0.05	\$	10.79	\$	9.59
		<del>-</del>	\$ 2.50				\$	4.31	\$	0.15	\$	0.39	\$	0.53	\$	5.87	\$	0.10	\$	7.34	\$	5.65
6	ļ	0.049	to	9.90%	\$	1.51		i					]									j
THE STATE OF	N/A		\$0.00				\$	1.56	\$	0.05	\$	0.14	\$	0.28	\$	3.12	\$	0.05	\$	10.31	\$	8.62
tness	N/A	0.069	\$0.00	12%	\$	1.51	\$	2.24	\$	0.08	\$	0.24	\$	0.48	\$	4.48	\$	0.08	_	9.12		6.24